INVESTIGATION INTO THE ALLOSTERIC REGULATION OF MITOTIC KINESIN EG5 Nicholas A. Tusa, David K. Worthylake, Edward J. Wojcik



LSUHealth NewOrleans

Introduction

Eg5, the human kinesin-5 motor protein, is required for formation of the mitotic spindle which is essential for the completion of mitosis. The full length monomer contains a ~42 kD N-terminal motor domain that binds both ATP and microtubules. Functioning as a homotetramer (Fig 1), Eg5 binds antiparallel MTs and slides them apart via ATP-powered stepping toward the plus-ends of the MTs. This action is required for establishing a bipolar spindle and for mitotic progression (Fig 2). Inhibiting Eg5 leads to mitotic arrest and cell apoptosis. Thus, these proteins are attractive drug targets in antitumor therapy. Current antimitotic chemotherapeutic drugs target the spindle MTs, interfering with spindle dynamics. While effective, they have various side effects, including neurotoxicity.



Figure 1 Model of Eg5. (A) Schematic of the Eg5 motor. (**B**) The tetrameric structure crosslinks ntiparallel MTs; the simultaneous plus-end directed movement along both MTs results in sliding of the MTs apart.

Figure 2 Eg5 activity in the mitotic spindle. (A) At the onset of mitosis, Eg5 motors (*red*) may translocate to the plus-ends of MTs, and promote bipolarity by crosslinking antiparallel MTs. (**B**) By metaphase, a stable bipolar spindle has formed. Eg5 motors slide MTs toward the centrosomes. (C) Close-up depiction of Eg5 motors walking to the plusends of antiparallel MTs, moving both poleward



Allosteric Eg5 inhibitors, like S-trityl-L-cysteine (STC) and monastrol, have created an opportunity for the development of more selective antimitotic chemotherapeutic drugs with an improved side effect profile. These inhibitors interact with the Eg5 motor domain at its surface via the L5pocket, formed by helices α2 and α3 and the L5 loop (**Fig 3**). STC and monastrol are known to prevent ADP release from the motor domain, but the exact mechanism of intramolecular communication between the three ligand-binding sites (ATP-, MT-, and drug-binding site) is not understood. However, recent data show the L5 loop is responsible for allosteric drug binding, and the mechanism for allosteric inhibition is dependent upon the amino acid residues in the L5 pocket.



Figure 3 Overview of the location of the L5 pocket. (A) STC *magenta*) surrounded by a schematic view of Eg5 with the L5 oop (*vellow*) and helices $\alpha 2$ and $\alpha 3$ green). (**B**) STC & monastrol surrounded by Eg5 with the L5

Klp61F, the Drosophila homolog to human Eg5, has the same function, a motor domain that is 60% identical, and a similar size L5 loop (Fig 4), but Klp61F is not inhibited by either STC or monastrol. Consistent with this lack of inhibition, Klp61F doesn't bind either allosteric effector. However, drug sensitivity can be engineered into the Klp61F motor by replacing its native L5 loop residues with the residues from the human L5 loop.



Figure 4 Alignment & spatial location of residues composing the L5 binding pocket of Eg5 to Klp61F. Boxed residues shaded in *yellow* mark the L5 loop. STC contacts with Eg5 differ from those seen in monastrol-bound crystal structures.

- Δ residues within Eg5 in close contact to STC
- \blacktriangle residues within Eg5 in close contact to monastrol.

atomic level contacts that mediate allosteric inhibition of Eg5 have not been identified.

allosteric inhibition by drugs that bind the L5 pocket.

to 18°C before inducing with isopropyl 1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (IPTG).



column.

Stanley S. Scott Cancer Center, Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center, New Orleans, LA





More than one allosteric communication network.

are likely contingent upon the different modes of contact to the L5 pocket.

key consideration in drug design.



(FPLC) system.

purification process were subjected to SDS-PAGE on %12 gels to identify purified protein (Fig 7, 8, 9).

Stanley S. Scott Cancer Center

Effective expression of the Klp61F-L5 chimera shown with samples taken before induction (Pre Ind) and after induction (Post Ind) with IPTG. 42 kD (Klp61F/Eg5 motor domain) + 10 kD (Trx) = 52 kD (fusion protein, arrow).

Applying the supernatant (SN) to the Ni affinity column, the disappearance of the 52 kD band in the flow-through (FT) indicates fusion protein binding to the column. The FT contains everything that did not bind to the Ni column.

The fusion protein was eluted using 30% buffer B (30%), seen as the prominent 52 kD band.

Effective digestion with TEV protease (Post-TEV) is indicated by the band "shift". The Trx (10 kD) was cleaved from the Klp61F

Further purification was achieved through a second pass over the Ni column (2nd-pass).

Lower induction temperatures may yield cleaner target protein needed for effective crystallization.



Figure 9 Klp61F-L5 chimera S100 sizing column fractions. (A) Fraction 9 correspond to the earlier chromatograph peak. Klp61F-L5 protein was detected in the S100 column fractions contained within our peak of interest. No degradation bands are present.

(B) Degradation bands (arrows) seen in initial attempts at purification.

obtained (*left*) need to be improved in order to yield a high-resolution structure of the Klp61F-L5

Despite using the same crystallization techniques and conditions, there is a noticeable difference from the Klp61F-L5 crystals obtained in previous successful crystallization experiments (*right*). We purification protocol, or that we are using a different expression construct than that which was used in the prior effective crystallization trials.



Klp61F-L5 chimera protein crystals from effective crystallization trials. Our aim is to improve upon the initial diffraction data provided by these crvstals.